

CLOSING RATES
Yesterday of cotton and gold: Liverpool cotton, 6-5-4. New York cotton, 12-3-4. New Orleans cotton, 12c. Memphis cotton, 12c. New York gold, 105-3-4.

WEATHER INDICATIONS.
WASH. DEPT., OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY, Feb. 9, 1877.
For Tennessee and Ohio valley, north-east to southeast winds, rising, possibly followed by falling barometer, colder and partly cloudy weather.

OBSERVATIONS YESTERDAY.
WASH. DEPT., OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY, Feb. 9, 1877, 10-10-50.

Place of Observation.	Bar.	Ther.	Wind.	Force.	Weather.
Galveston	30.15	59	N. E.	10	Clear.
San Francisco	30.10	41	N. E.	10	Clear.
San Diego	30.10	41	N. E.	10	Clear.
San Jose	30.10	41	N. E.	10	Clear.
San Antonio	30.10	41	N. E.	10	Clear.
San Luis	30.10	41	N. E.	10	Clear.
San Pedro	30.10	41	N. E.	10	Clear.
San Juan	30.10	41	N. E.	10	Clear.
San Carlos	30.10	41	N. E.	10	Clear.
San Miguel	30.10	41	N. E.	10	Clear.

The programme of the Ulys and the Memphis, which we publish on the second page of this issue of the APPEAL, gives promise of a pageantry and display that will eclipse anything Memphis has ever seen. The libretto of the Memphis speaks volumes for the taste, culture and enterprise of that favorite organization, which in the selection and treatment of the subject—"India"—attains a reputation second to no similar society in the world. All that is now needed to make Mardi Gras, 1877, a success is fair weather, and that we are justified in predicting we will have.

The credentials of Senator-Elect Garland, from Arkansas, were filed in the senate yesterday.

The bill to pay Captain Eads for his jetty at the mouth of the Mississippi still hangs fire in the senate.

A Washington dispatch last night announced that Secretary Morrill's condition conveyed little, if any encouragement.

J. H. BUNKER, late Republican solicitor for the circuit court of Columbia, South Carolina, committed suicide yesterday by shooting himself.

This district attorney-general at Washington yesterday dismissed the suit against Belknap on the ground that the evidence would not sustain the prosecution.

By a telegram from Nashville, yesterday, we learn that the senate has concurred in the house resolution directing the comptroller and treasurer to prepare the payment of interest on the State bonded debt.

The city is fast filling up with strangers, the arrangements of the Ulys and Memphis are nearly completed, and if the present beautiful weather only holds out, Memphis will celebrate Mardi Gras on Tuesday in a style eclipsing all former efforts.

ALBERT PENDER, alleged ineligible Democratic elector from West Virginia, has been summoned to Washington to establish the fact of his being a naturalized citizen. He claims to be a native of New York, while the bulldozers assert that he is a citizen of Poland.

A long discussion took place in the house yesterday over the manner of confinement of J. Madison Wells, who is held in contempt for not producing certain papers in the Louisiana election case to that body. The matter was referred to the committee on privileges and elections.

The senate committee has reported the postoffice appropriation bill, increased \$1,000,000 over the total of \$20,221,000, as passed by the house. The increase includes \$500,000 for transportation of mails by railroads, making the total appropriation for that purpose \$3,600,000.

The *Tidal Wave* pays us this compliment in its last number: "This is the first issue of the *Tidal Wave* that comes to the hands of our people. Let your attention be attracted to this issue, for it is the first issue of the *Tidal Wave* that is not a reprint of some other paper, but a paper of its own merit."

UNITED STATES SENATOR BROWN (colorado) refuses to be a party to a scheme for the rejection of the vote of Mississippi. He has, no doubt, sensibly concluded that a Democratic majority of fifty-one thousand seven hundred and eighty-eight cannot be successfully bulldozed even by a Radical senator.

OKOLONA has developed a remarkable case of spirit medium in the person of the wife of a physician of repute. The *Southern States*, in its last number, has a most interesting account of the wonderful phenomena produced by this lady, who is vouched for by that paper as another trick or device. "Wonders will never cease."

A STATEMENT was published in Washington yesterday afternoon that Mrs. Mary A. Oliver has entered into a contract with the government for the purchase of a large tract of land in the State of Tennessee, and that she has received the title to the same.

BROTHER BROWN, of his Knoxville *Chronicle* of passing events, says of the supreme court's decision in favor of the holders of Bank of Tennessee notes, that "more glaring pieces of injustice was never perpetrated against an innocent people. It is a swindle in the name and under cover of law."

It is stated by parties who were in New Orleans at the time, that at the hour when the bogus Hayes electors met and went through the form of casting the eight votes of that State for Hayes they wore no certificates. It is said that Kollege was afraid to trust them, and that he held the certificates in an adjoining room, and would not deliver them until after the votes were cast and announced for Hayes. This would of itself be sufficient to invalidate the vote.

It is understood that one of the discoveries of the house South Carolina committee is that there has really been no legal election in that State since reconstruction. The new constitution has a mandatory provision for the registration of voters. The carpetbag and colored legislatures have never passed any registration law, as directed by the constitution. This may make another point for the electoral commission to unravel.

We learn from Washington that the electoral commission will take the Florida case

into private consideration early this morning, and it is expected they will reach a decision during the day. The Democrats have little hope for anything more favorable to them than the throwing out of Humphrey's electoral vote. The contest in the Louisiana case will be over the legality of the returning board itself. The law calling for five members, and the four members who acted not filling the vacancy, it will be claimed that there has been no canvass of the votes, and that no electors have been appointed. Prominent Democrats say that the commission has adopted a line of procedure that must throw out the vote of Watts, the Oregon postmaster.

TELEGRAPHIC BRIEVITY.

London, February 8. Amount of bullion with the Bank of England today, sixty thousand pounds.

New York, February 8. John O. Mahoney, the well-known Boston leader, died last evening at his residence in this city.

Washington, February 8. James Wood, who killed his wife at his residence, No. 52 Crosby street, surrendered himself to the police.

Washington, February 8. Rear-Admiral Willard, of the United States navy, on the retired list, died here this morning. He had been in bed for a long time.

Buffalo, February 8. John C. Jewett & Son's tin and japanned factory, was damaged by fire last night. The loss is estimated at \$100,000.

Washington, February 8. Hildreth & Co's store and four adjacent buildings in East Nashville were destroyed by fire last night. Loss, fifty thousand dollars.

New York, February 8. At the fifth railroad meeting in London, a motion was passed recommending the bondholders to convert their bonds and notes into stock.

London, February 8. The citizens' reception committee, given to the general assembly and the house of commons, was a large and brilliant affair.

London, February 8. A Vienna dispatch says the new grand vizier has sent express instructions to the Turkish ambassador here to continue the negotiations with Serbia.

New York, February 8. The ship *Aminta*, which arrived yesterday from Calcutta, reports the loss of the *Washington*, two months and two days ago, with all hands.

London, February 8. The British steamer *Elmer*, bound from Wilkes for New York, was wrecked on Sunday Island, and became a total wreck.

New York, February 8. It is reported that the judge in the case of the *Washington* has been seen by the leading American boatmen.

London, February 8. The Bank of England has decreased its bullion by seventy thousand pounds during the past week.

New Orleans, February 8. A row occurred on the levee between the *Washington* and the *Washington*. Several persons were injured. One man is believed to be seriously injured.

London, February 8. The house of commons has passed a resolution recommending the bondholders to convert their bonds and notes into stock.

Washington, February 8. A. H. Herr, of Georgetown, will be appointed a member of the board of the new canal, which is to be built from the Potomac to the Chesapeake.

Trenton, February 8. Governor Boocker has received a letter from the *Washington*, dated the 15th inst., in which the *Washington* is asked to give an opportunity to have the case opened for review on a writ of error.

Columbus, O., February 8. In the senate this morning a bill was introduced to amend the act of 1864 relating to the payment of interest on the State bonded debt.

Omaha, February 8. Captain J. H. Smith, of the *Washington*, has been appointed to the command of the *Washington*, which is to be sent to the coast of Africa.

St. Paul, February 8. The creditors of Fichtelberg, Karples & Co., dealers in furs, furs, goods, and clothing, have filed a petition in bankruptcy.

Toledo, February 8. A man named Henry M. Stevens was shot in the left eye this evening by John M. Stevens, who was shot in the right eye by John M. Stevens.

St. Paul, February 7. All but three of the students who were killed during the riot at the cathedral of Kansas, made demonstrations and carried a banner with the words "Liberty and Justice for all."

Trenton, February 7. The argument in the case of the *Washington* was continued this morning. The case is expected to be decided by the court.

Omaha, February 7. The case of the *Washington* was continued this morning. The case is expected to be decided by the court.

St. Paul, February 7. The case of the *Washington* was continued this morning. The case is expected to be decided by the court.

Washington, February 7. The case of the *Washington* was continued this morning. The case is expected to be decided by the court.

London, February 7. The case of the *Washington* was continued this morning. The case is expected to be decided by the court.

New York, February 7. The case of the *Washington* was continued this morning. The case is expected to be decided by the court.

St. Paul, February 7. The case of the *Washington* was continued this morning. The case is expected to be decided by the court.

Toledo, February 7. The case of the *Washington* was continued this morning. The case is expected to be decided by the court.

Washington, February 7. The case of the *Washington* was continued this morning. The case is expected to be decided by the court.

London, February 7. The case of the *Washington* was continued this morning. The case is expected to be decided by the court.

New York, February 7. The case of the *Washington* was continued this morning. The case is expected to be decided by the court.

St. Paul, February 7. The case of the *Washington* was continued this morning. The case is expected to be decided by the court.

Toledo, February 7. The case of the *Washington* was continued this morning. The case is expected to be decided by the court.

Washington, February 7. The case of the *Washington* was continued this morning. The case is expected to be decided by the court.

London, February 7. The case of the *Washington* was continued this morning. The case is expected to be decided by the court.

New York, February 7. The case of the *Washington* was continued this morning. The case is expected to be decided by the court.

St. Paul, February 7. The case of the *Washington* was continued this morning. The case is expected to be decided by the court.

THE HIGH COMMISSION.

The Case of Humphreys, of Florida—Witnesses Examined on Both Sides—Exceptions by Merrick.

Speeches by Judge Hoadley, Mr. Green, Judge Shellabarger, Mr. Everts and Mr. Merrick—No Decision Reached.

Opinions of the New York Press upon Wednesday's Decision—The Republicans Jubilant—The Independents not Sanguine for Hayes.

Washington, February 8.—The commission reassembled in open session at eleven o'clock. The counsel on both sides were present with the exception of Judge Black.

Justice Hoadley stated the proceedings were now in order under the orders adopted by the commission yesterday. Mr. Green of counsel on the Democratic side, called as witness George P. Rae, of Florida, who resides in Tallahassee, and is attorney-general of the State.

Question—Were you present when the writ of *quo warranto* was served?

Mr. Everts objected to the question, as not within the order of the court.

Justice Hoadley then proposed to fix the date of the *quo warranto* proceedings, as they did not consider the order of the commission excluded that question.

The question was then put to the court, and the objection of Mr. Everts was sustained.

Mr. F. C. Humphreys having been identified, Counsel Green presented the commission of Humphreys and a certificate from the clerk of the court in which the commission was filed, that no resignation had been filed up to the date of the proceedings.

Justice Hoadley then asked Mr. Humphreys whether he had resigned the office of State commissioner, and his resignation was accepted. October 24, by Judge Wood, from whom the office came.

Witnesses examined a written acceptance of his resignation.

Mr. Merrick objected to the production of the paper, it was the act of an individual, and not the act of the court.

Justice Hoadley then asked Mr. Merrick whether he had resigned the office of State commissioner, and his resignation was accepted. October 24, by Judge Wood, from whom the office came.

Witnesses examined a written acceptance of his resignation.

Mr. Merrick objected to the production of the paper, it was the act of an individual, and not the act of the court.

Justice Hoadley then asked Mr. Merrick whether he had resigned the office of State commissioner, and his resignation was accepted. October 24, by Judge Wood, from whom the office came.

Witnesses examined a written acceptance of his resignation.

Mr. Merrick objected to the production of the paper, it was the act of an individual, and not the act of the court.

Justice Hoadley then asked Mr. Merrick whether he had resigned the office of State commissioner, and his resignation was accepted. October 24, by Judge Wood, from whom the office came.

Witnesses examined a written acceptance of his resignation.

Mr. Merrick objected to the production of the paper, it was the act of an individual, and not the act of the court.

Justice Hoadley then asked Mr. Merrick whether he had resigned the office of State commissioner, and his resignation was accepted. October 24, by Judge Wood, from whom the office came.

Witnesses examined a written acceptance of his resignation.

Mr. Merrick objected to the production of the paper, it was the act of an individual, and not the act of the court.

Justice Hoadley then asked Mr. Merrick whether he had resigned the office of State commissioner, and his resignation was accepted. October 24, by Judge Wood, from whom the office came.

Witnesses examined a written acceptance of his resignation.

Mr. Merrick objected to the production of the paper, it was the act of an individual, and not the act of the court.

Justice Hoadley then asked Mr. Merrick whether he had resigned the office of State commissioner, and his resignation was accepted. October 24, by Judge Wood, from whom the office came.

Witnesses examined a written acceptance of his resignation.

Mr. Merrick objected to the production of the paper, it was the act of an individual, and not the act of the court.

Justice Hoadley then asked Mr. Merrick whether he had resigned the office of State commissioner, and his resignation was accepted. October 24, by Judge Wood, from whom the office came.

Witnesses examined a written acceptance of his resignation.

Mr. Merrick objected to the production of the paper, it was the act of an individual, and not the act of the court.

Justice Hoadley then asked Mr. Merrick whether he had resigned the office of State commissioner, and his resignation was accepted. October 24, by Judge Wood, from whom the office came.

Witnesses examined a written acceptance of his resignation.

Mr. Merrick objected to the production of the paper, it was the act of an individual, and not the act of the court.

Justice Hoadley then asked Mr. Merrick whether he had resigned the office of State commissioner, and his resignation was accepted. October 24, by Judge Wood, from whom the office came.

Witnesses examined a written acceptance of his resignation.

Mr. Merrick objected to the production of the paper, it was the act of an individual, and not the act of the court.

Justice Hoadley then asked Mr. Merrick whether he had resigned the office of State commissioner, and his resignation was accepted. October 24, by Judge Wood, from whom the office came.

Witnesses examined a written acceptance of his resignation.

Mr. Merrick objected to the production of the paper, it was the act of an individual, and not the act of the court.

of the constitution. He gave notice of the other side that under the order of the court they should insist that the commission could look into the proceedings under *quo warranto*.

Mr. Shellabarger followed on the other side, and insisted that the commission could look into the proceedings under *quo warranto*.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

He said that since he had been of the decision of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings, he had thought of discussing the question of the commission to refuse to hear testimony in relation to the *quo warranto* proceedings.

was spoken, but if it had not been, there could have been more power and vigor in the singular tones of her voice to reach the council of the Federal government than there is in these stilted, formal tones coming ringing along with those of the true sentiment of her people.

The State is not to be deceived, defrauded and cheated. She might, prior to the time that this original was made, have instituted her *quo warranto*, standing on her own tribunals, clothed with the majesty of her executive power, and appealing to her judicial authority, and asked these men, "Why warrant do you presume to exercise the power of this State?" and so standing, she could have stripped from them the government they had stolen; stripped from their shoulders her ivory which they had used to serve the devil.

Mr. Merrick then quoted a number of authorities from the supreme court, showing that the uniform rule was for that court to follow the State decisions on the subject of the local laws of the State, and that